

## MATH 016 REVIEW II Discussions

Copyright ©2009 by A. Schremmer under a GNU Free Documentation License.

[ Run: 07/24/2020 at 18:3 Seed: 6541. Order of Checkable Items: Random.]

---

*Rv* **II-1.** On Tuesday your balance was six hundred three dollars and twenty-eight cents in the red and on Friday your balance was fifty-six dollars and three cents in the black. What is the signed number-phrase that represents the change in your balance from Tuesday to Friday?

**Discussion:** The *change* is the single action on the initial state that results in the final state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - on Tuesday your balance was six hundred three dollars and twenty-eight cents in the red
  - on Friday your balance was fifty-six dollars and three cents in the black

Since your balance has gone from the red to the black, from six hundred three dollars and twenty-eight cents in the red to fifty-six dollars and three cents in the black, this means that the action must have been a gain of six hundred three dollars and twenty-eight cents plus fifty-six dollars and three cents, that is a gain of six hundred fifty-nine dollars and thirty one cents.

- In the *paper representation*, THEOREM 2 says that the *change* from an initial state to a final state is equal to the final state *minus* the initial state. So we write the specifying-phrase

$$+56.03 \text{ Dollars} \ominus -603.28 \text{ Dollars}$$

that is

$$+56.03 \text{ Dollars} \oplus +603.28 \text{ Dollars}$$

Either way, we end up writing

$$+659.31 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv* **II-2.** Your balance was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the red and you made an eight hundred seventy-six dollars and eleven cents deposit. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** The *final* state is the result of the *action* on the *initial* state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - The initial state of your account was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the red
  - The action on this initial state was an eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents deposit.

Since you are *depositing* money from an account that was already in the *red*, the eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents first go to the seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the red to give a final balance of *seven hundred ninety-nine dollars and seventy-three cents in the black*

- In the *paper representation*, we write the signed specifying-phrase
 
$$-76.38 \text{ Dollars} \oplus +876.11 \text{ Dollars}$$
 and we identify it.

Either way, we end up writing

$$+799.73 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv II-3.* Execute the specifying-phrase  $+837.44 \ominus +869.04$

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv II-4.* Execute the specifying-phrase  $[-5 \text{ Carrots}] \times \left[ +7 \frac{\text{Cents}}{\text{Carrot}} \right]$

**Discussion:** We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - We have five carrots disappearing from the warehouse
  - These carrots were bad carrots and would have cost seven cents per carrot to get rid of.

Altogether then, this is going to be a gain of thirty-five cents for the business.

- In the *paper representation*, we *co-multiply*:
  - i. we multiply the *denominators* (with cancellation):

$$\cancel{\text{Carrots}} \times \frac{\text{Cents}}{\cancel{\text{Carrot}}} = \text{Cents}$$

- ii. we multiply the sizes of the numerators

$$5 \times 7 = 35$$

- iii. we multiply the signs of the numerators

$$(-) \otimes (-) \text{ gives } (+)$$

Either way, we have identified the specifying-phrase  $[-5 \text{ Carrots}] \times \left[ -7 \frac{\text{Cents}}{\text{Carrot}} \right]$  as



*Rv* **II-10.** Your balance was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the red and you made an eight hundred seventy-six dollars and eleven cents withdrawal. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** The *final* state is the result of the *action* on the *initial* state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - The initial state of your account was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the red
  - The action on this initial state was an eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents withdrawal.

Since you are *withdrawing* money from an account that was already in the *red*, the eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents add to the seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents to give a final balance of *nine hundred fifty-two dollars and forty-nine cents in the black*.

- In the *paper representation*, we write the signed specifying-phrase  
 $-76.38 \text{ Dollars} \oplus -876.11 \text{ Dollars}$   
 and we identify it.

Either way, we end up writing

$$+952.49 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv* **II-11.** Execute the specifying-phrase  $[+4 \text{ Apples}] \times \left[-2 \frac{\text{Dimes}}{\text{Apple}}\right]$

**Discussion:** We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - We have four apples appearing into the warehouse
  - Each of these apples are bad apples and will cost two dimes per apple to get rid of.

Altogether then, this is going to cost eight dimes to the business.

- In the *paper representation*, we *co-multiply*:
  - i. we multiply the *denominators* (with cancellation):

$$\cancel{\text{Apples}} \times \frac{\text{Dimes}}{\cancel{\text{Apple}}} = \text{Dimes}$$

- ii. we multiply the sizes of the numerators

$$4 \times 2 = 8$$

- iii. we multiply the signs of the numerators

$$(+)\otimes(-) \text{ gives } (-)$$

Either way, we have identified the specifying-phrase  $[+4 \text{ Apples}] \times \left[-2 \frac{\text{Dimes}}{\text{Apple}}\right]$  as

$$-8 \text{ Dimes}$$

*Rv* **II-12.** Execute the specifying-phrase  $-234.938 \ominus -402.772$

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv* **II-13.** Execute  $+2 - 1 + 4 - 1 - 3 + 5 - 3 - 2 + 1 + 6 - 1 + 5 + 2$

**Discussion:**

- i. The symbol  $\oplus$  goes without saying,
- ii. The symbols  $+$  and  $-$  are the signs of the signed numerators,
- iii. If the first numerator has no sign, the sign  $+$  goes without saying.

$$+2 - 1 + 4 - 1 - 3 + 5 - 3 - 2 + 1 + 6 - 1 + 5 + 2$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \underbrace{+2 \oplus -1} \\
 \quad \underbrace{+1 \oplus +4} \\
 \quad \quad \underbrace{-3 \oplus -1} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-4 \oplus -3} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-7 \oplus +5} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-2 \oplus -3} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-5 \oplus -2} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-7 \oplus +1} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{-6 \oplus +6} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{0 \oplus -1} \\
 \quad \underbrace{-1 \oplus +5} \\
 \quad \underbrace{+4 \oplus +2} \\
 \quad +6
 \end{array}$$

*Rv* **II-14.** Given the problem in **Dollars**

$$x < -371.45$$

what is the *graph* of its solution subset?

**Discussion:** This inequation lets IN all the numbers that are *smaller* than  $-371.45$ .

The inequation is *strict* so that it leaves OUT the *boundary point*  $-371.45$ .

The *graph* of the solution subset is therefore:



*Rv* **II-15.** Given the following “events”

$$\text{Jack's "event"} = [-4 \text{ Apples}] \times \left[ +6 \frac{\text{Dimes}}{\text{Apple}} \right]$$

and

$$\text{Jill's "event"} = [-5 \text{ Bananas}] \times \left[ -3 \frac{\text{Dimes}}{\text{Banana}} \right],$$

identify the specifying-phrase Jack’s “event”  $\oplus$  Jill’s “event”.

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv* **II-16.** Your balance was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the black and you made an eight hundred seventy-six dollars and eleven cents withdrawal. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** The *final* state is the result of the *action* on the *initial* state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - The initial state of your account was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the black
  - The action on this initial state was an eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents withdrawal.

Since your are *withdrawing* more money than was in the account, the eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents break down to the seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents that were in the account and the remainder that gives a final balance of *seven hundred ninety-nine dollars and seventy-three cents in the red*.

- In the *paper representation*, we write the signed specifying-phrase

$$+76.38 \text{ Dollars} \oplus -876.11 \text{ Dollars}$$

and we identify it.

Either way, we end up writing

–799.73 Dollars

*Rv II-17.* Given the problem in Dollars

$$x \geq -152.78$$

what is the *graph* of its solution subset?

**Discussion:** This inequation lets IN all the numbers that are *larger* than  $-152.78$ .

The inequation is *lenient* so that it lets IN the *boundary point*  $-152.78$ .

The *graph* of the solution subset is therefore:



*Rv II-18.* Execute  $31 \div 0$

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv II-19.* Execute the specifying-phrase  $-62.394 \oplus +39.977$

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv II-20.* You thought your balance was one hundred seventy-two dollars and fifty-seven cents in the black but you just found out that a twelve dollars and fifty-six cents check you had deposited bounced. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** *Removing* a deposit or *removing* a withdrawal is a real-world *action* that is represented on paper by a *subtraction*.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - You thought the balance was one hundred seventy-two dollars and fifty-seven cents in the black
  - but this balance included a twelve dollars and fifty-six cents check
 Since the check bounced, the balance is actually twelve dollars and fifty-six cents less than you thought, that is one hundred sixty dollars and one cent in the black.
- In the *paper representation*, we write the specifying-phrase
 

$+172.57 \text{ Dollars} \ominus +12.56 \text{ Dollars}$

which we identify by *adding the opposite of* the second number-phrase to the first number-phrase

$$+172.57 \text{ Dollars} \oplus -12.56 \text{ Dollars}$$

Either way, we end up writing the signed number-phrase

$$+160.01 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv II-21.* You thought your balance was one hundred seventy-two dollars and fifty-seven cents in the red but you just found out that an unjustified twelve dollars and fifty-six cents charge has been removed. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** *Removing* a deposit or *removing* a withdrawal is a real-world *action* that is represented on paper by a *subtraction*.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - You thought the balance was one hundred seventy-two dollars and fifty-seven cents in the red
  - but this balance included a twelve dollars and fifty-six cents charge
 Since the charge was removed, the balance is actually twelve dollars and fifty-six cents more than you thought, that is one hundred sixty dollars and one cent in the red.

- In the *paper representation*, we write the specifying-phrase

$$-172.57 \text{ Dollars} \ominus -12.56 \text{ Dollars}$$

which we identify by *adding the opposite of* the second number-phrase to the first number-phrase

$$-172.57 \text{ Dollars} \oplus +12.56 \text{ Dollars}$$

Either way, we end up writing the signed number-phrase

$$-160.01 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv II-22.* Your balance was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the black and you made an eight hundred seventy-six dollars and eleven cents deposit. What is the signed number-phrase that represents your new balance?

**Discussion:** The *final* state is the result of the *action* on the *initial* state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,

- The initial state of your account was seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents in the black
- The action on this initial state was an eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents deposit.

Since you are *depositing* money on an account that was already in the *black*, the eight hundred and seventy-six dollars and eleven cents add to the seventy-six dollars and thirty-eight cents to give a final balance of *nine hundred fifty-two dollars and forty-nine cents in the black*.

- In the *paper representation*, we write the signed specifying-phrase  
 $+76.38 \text{ Dollars} \oplus +876.11 \text{ Dollars}$   
 and we identify it.

Either way, we end up writing

$$+952.49 \text{ Dollars}$$

*Rv II-23.* On Monday your balance was three hundred thirty-two dollars and seventy one cents in the red and on Thursday your balance was seventy-four dollars and forty-six cents in the red. What is the signed number-phrase that represents the change in your balance from Monday to Thursday?

**Discussion:** The *change* is the single action on the initial state that results in the final state.

We can look at the question from two points of view:

- In a corresponding *real-world situation*,
  - on Monday your balance was three hundred thirty-two dollars and seventy one cents in the red
  - on Thursday your balance was seventy-four dollars and forty-six dollars in the red

Since, while still in the red, the balance has gone *down in size*, from three hundred thirty-two dollars and seventy-one cents to seventy-four dollars and forty-six dollars, this means that the action must have been a gain of two hundred fifty-eight dollars and twenty-five cents.

- In the *paper representation*, THEOREM 2 says that the *change* from an initial state to a final state is equal to the final state *ominus* the initial state. So we write the specifying-phrase

$$-74.46 \text{ Dollars} \ominus -332.71 \text{ Dollars}$$

that is

$$-74.46 \text{ Dollars} \oplus +332.71 \text{ Dollars}$$

Either way, we end up writing

–258.25 **Dollars**

*Rv* **II-24.** Execute for *plain numbers*: 8 – 13

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion

*Rv* **II-25.** Given the data set

–3.2 **Dollars**, –2.6 **Dollars**, –1.3 **Dollars**, +0.7 **Dollars**, +1.4 **Dollars**, +2.6 **Dollars**,  
+3.1 **Dollars**

and the formula in **Dollars**

$$x < +3.2$$

What are the solutions in **Dollars**?

**Discussion:** REVIEWdiscussion