Laurent monomials coefficient output-specifying code exponent power

Chapter 11

Laurent Monomials

Evaluation, $2 \bullet$ Multiplication, $3 \bullet$ Division, 6.

Laurent monomials are number-phrases in which a *given numerator*, referred to as the **coefficient**, is *multiplied* or *divided* by a given number of *copies of an* unspecified numerator x. More precisely,



evaluate split equality comments



11.1 Evaluation

1. To evaluate a given Laurent monomial for a given numerator:

- i. We declare that x is to be replaced by the given numerator,
- ii. We decode the specifying code,
- iii. We *execute*.

ATTENTION 11.1. Split Equalities. To make the steps of the procedures clear,

i. We will write on the *left* what we want to get,

ii. We will write on separate lines on the *right* the successive stages of the *computation* that gets us what we want.

iii. Between the steps, there will usually be comments.

EXAMPLE 11.2. To evaluate the Laurent monomial $-3x^{+4}$ for -4.2: i. We *declare* that x is to be replaced by -4.2:



ii. We *decode* the specifying code:

= -3 multiplied by -4.2 \cdot -4.2 \cdot -4.2 \cdot -4.2

4 copies of -4.2

iii. We *execute*:

$$= -3 \cdot +311.16 + [...]$$

= -933.50 + [...]





- **ii.** We *decode* the specifying code:
- iii. We execute:



2. Most of the time, though, we delay evaluating Laurent monomial as much as possible and, instead, we *compute* with the Laurent monomial themselves as long as possible, that is until there is nothing else to do but evaluate the end Laurent monomial. So, in fact and from now on, we will focus on the *operations* with Laurent monomials.

11.2Multiplication

We will use the symbol \boxdot to code for the multiplication of Laurent Monomials.

1. We can multiply Laurent monomials just using Definition 11.1 on page 1 as in the following examples.



•





2. We saw in the above examples that *multiplying* Laurent monomials using Definition 11.1 on page 1 is long and painful. But since we used + to code for multiplied by and - to code for divided by so that the exponents are signed counting numerators, it so happens that \oplus automatically takes care of everything in all cases:







which is of course the same result at in Example 11.5 on page 4.



which is of course the same result at in Example 11.6 on page 5.

11.3 Division

We will use the symbol
∃ to code for the division of Laurent Monomials.
1. We recall a definition from ARITHMETIC:

DEFINITION 11.2. The **reciprocal** of a given numerator is the numerator that multiplies the given numerator to +1. In other words, the reciprocal of x is $\frac{\pm 1}{x}$. In particular, the reciprocal of a

 $\mathbf{6}$

fraction is the *upside-down* fraction.

reciprocal

EXAMPLE 11.10. The reciprocal of -13.52 is -0.07+[...] because $\frac{+1}{-13.52} = -0.07+[...]$. Indeed, $-13.52 \odot -0.07+[...] = +1+[...]$

EXAMPLE 11.11. The reciprocal of $\frac{-3.45}{+71.04}$ is $\frac{+71.04}{-3.45}$ because $\frac{-3.45}{+71.04}$. $\frac{+71.04}{-3.45} = \frac{-3.45 \odot \mp 71.04}{\mp 71.04 \odot -3.45} = +1$

2. Just the way $A \ominus B$ is the same as $A \oplus$ opposite of B, we have

THEOREM11.2**Reciprocal** $A \oplus B$ is the same as $A \odot$ reciprocal of B

3. We can *divide* Laurent monomials just using Definition 11.1 on page 1 as in the following examples but with, when the exponent of the second Laurent monomial is *negative*, the help of Theorem 11.2 Reciprocal on page 7 as in Example 11.14 on page 9 and Example 11.15 on page 9.





In the following examples, we will have to use Theorem 11.2 Reciprocal because we will be \exists 'ing by a Laurent monomial with a negative exponent, that is a Laurent monomial in which the coefficient is divided by the copies of x.





4. We saw in the above examples that *dividing* Laurent monomials using Definition 11.1 on page 1 is long and painful. But since we used + to code for multiplied by and - to code for divided by so that the exponents are signed counting numerators, it so happens that \ominus automatically takes care of everything in all cases:

THEOREM 11.3 Laurent Monomial Division In order to *divide* two monomials ax^{±m} and bx^{±n}, We *divide* the coefficients, We *ominus* the exponents. In other words:

 $ax^{\pm m} \boxminus bx^{\pm n} = a \div b \, x^{\pm m \ominus \pm n}$





which is of course the same result at in Example 11.13 on page 8.



which is of course the same result at in Example 11.14 on page 9.





Index

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm coefficient}, \ 1 \\ {\rm comments}, \ 2 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{evaluate, } 2 \\ \text{exponent, } 1 \end{array}$

Laurent monomials, 1

output-specifying code, 1

power, 1

reciprocal, 6

split equality, 2